

Protists, Cyanobacteria, Rotifers and Crustacea from
the Hypersaline Ponds of Messolonghi Saltworks (W. Greece)

**Protists, Cyanobacteria, Rotifers and Crustacea from
the Hypersaline Ponds of Messolonghi Saltworks (W. Greece)**
Salt and the Environment

George N. Hotos

Plankton Culture Laboratory, Dept. of Fisheries & Aquaculture Technology
Technological Educational Institute (T.E.I.) of W. Greece, 30200 Messolonghi

Keywords: Protists; cyanobacteria; rotifers; crustacea; hypersalinity; Messolonghi saltworks

Abstract

During a survey in 2015 an impressive assemblage of organisms were found in the hypersaline ponds of the Messolonghi saltworks. The salinity ranged between 50 and 210 ppt and the organisms recorded fell in the categories of Cyanobacteria (22 species), Chlorophytes (5 species), Dinoflagellates (1 species), Protozoa (51 species), Diatoms (27 species), Rotifers (9 species), Copepods (1 species), *Artemia* sp. and one nematode. *Fabrea salina* was the most prominent protist in all samples and salinities. This ciliate has the potential to be a live-food candidate for marine fish larvae. *Asteromonas gracilis* proved a sturdy microalga performing excellently in a broad spectrum of culture salinities. Most of the specimens were identified only to the genus level and, based on their morphology, as there are no relevant records in Greece, there is a possibility for some of them to be either new species or strikingly different strains of certain species recorded elsewhere.

Introduction

Considering the lack of concise information on the organisms other than microbes from hypersaline environments in Greece, a preliminary survey in the salterns of Messolonghi (W. Greece) was made during spring and summer of 2015. The aim was to identify at least to the genus level all organisms visible by optical microscopy in order to get an idea of their presence and abundance, to be used as guide for future more elaborated studies in this biotope. Furthermore to test the maintenance and culture of as many of them as possible in laboratory conditions, in order to be used as live food for cultured fishes and other use in general. There is a rather annoying confusion concerning especially the exact identification of cyanobacteria and protists in the way they are presented in various papers. Scanning the literature there is a scarcity of usable picturing material to be used as a guide for similar works. In fact, it is impossible for a young researcher to be guided through the species mentioned in scientific papers unless there are representative pictures given. The situation is perplex considering cyanobacteria and protists (algae and protozoa) from hypersalinity, is a topic only scarcely studied. This is the reason why in the present study it was implemented as a general scouting first attempt, with the aim to gain experience and to plan future monitoring in a more sound way. Pictures and live videos were taken by microscopy and representative material is presented here. For identification, various studies were used as guides (Fontaneto & De Smet, 2015; Lee et al, 2000; Ricard, 1987; Sournia, 1986; Tomas, 1996; Foissner & Berger, 1996).

Materials & Methods

The water samples were taken from a particular evaporation pond of the Messolonghi saltern during March-September 2015 on a monthly basis, thus following the salinity range (50-210 ppt) of the changing water conditions. The samples were only water with no benthos included. A 2 L plastic beaker was used and the surface of the bottom was just touched in order to be disturbed just enough for its surface layer to be included in the water taken. Salinity and temperature were recorded and the samples were immediately transported to the laboratory where the temperature was kept at 21 °C. The samples were kept in 2 L Erlenmeyer conical flasks, lit with ambient light of about 1000 lux and aerated using pumped air via a pipette. Two sub-samples of 50 ml were taken and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 3 min. after which the

Protists, Cyanobacteria, Rotifers and Crustacea from the Hypersaline Ponds of Messolonghi Saltworks (W. Greece)

sediment with 2 ml of water was kept for microscopic examination. The decanted supernatant was free of organisms as all of them were sedimented and then kept in the re-suspended 2 ml of the vial. There was no adverse effect of the centrifugation on the motility and viability of the organisms. The procedure of the 50 ml sub-samples was repeated for 3 successive days in order to strengthen the detection. The 2 ml concentrated samples were apportioned to 0.1 ml sub-samples as droplets in shallow glass petri dishes and examined stereomicroscopically in order to count organisms of a size bigger than 30 μm in general. That includes all protozoa, rotifers, copepods, *Artemia* and nematodes. After a thorough counting assessment of live specimens, a drop of Lugol was poured and the immobilized creatures were again counted. The other 2 ml sample was kept intact (no Lugol) in order to be examined microscopically. Additionally, from the live samples, organisms were removed by micropipette-suction and placed in 50 ml conical flasks with nutrient fertilized water of similar salinity in order to roughly check their suitability for growth in culture conditions. The abundance of the various organisms (less than 30 μm in size) in the sub-samples mixtures taken from the various salinities samples was calculated as the counted individuals of each species in a 1 mm^2 area of the microscopy vision field. Counts were used for comparisons among salinities for a rough estimation of abundance. All photos presented here were taken from live specimens (Figures 1-7).

Results & Discussion

The organisms found (Table 1) can be categorized as Cyanobacteria, Protozoa, eucaryotic microalgae, rotifers, copepods, *Artemia* and a nematode. The salinity range clearly demarcated the presence of some organisms from other. In particular, at salinities over 160 ppt only *Artemia* sp., *Dunaliella salina*, *Asteromonas gracilis*, *Fabrea salina* and cocciform cyanobacteria were detected and were able to stay alive and grow at similar (with their occurrence) salinities in laboratory conditions. A peculiar finding was that, although the cyanobacteria were massively detected at those elevated salinities their subsequent attempted culture at similar salinities in the laboratory gave poor results. It seems that a combination of elusive parameters in their particular natural habitat fulfill their needs. In the salinity range of 110-160 ppt much more organisms (included those previously mentioned) were recorded with representatives of all categories except rotifers and copepods. At salinities of 60-110 ppt cyanobacteria, rotifers and protozoa were most abundant compared to their presence in higher salinities. *Fabrea salina* dominated in all salinities, it was easily mass cultured at almost every salinity in the range of 35-150 ppt, being thus a candidate live food for larval marine fish. At salinities higher than 160 ppt, *F. salina* encysts and can remain viable for long time, reviving again after lowering the salinity below 50 ppt. The copepod *Tisbe* sp. also exhibited a remarkable viability at a wide range of salinities (35 – 90 ppt) and was easily cultured with high reproduction rate, feeding avidly on a wide spectrum of microalgae. Its culture can remain viable even in water with a heavy organic load, with no addition of food, thus considered to be a hardy species for larval aquaculture. The green Chlorophytes (*A. gracilis*, *Tetraselmis marina* and *D. salina*) were easily mass cultured with a preference for better growth at salinities over 100 ppt. *T. marina* was the most sensitive of the three as for unknown reasons, its cells often lose all 4 flagella and are transformed to palmelloid cells. Nevertheless those three halotolerant microalgae proved to be an excellent food for the rotifer *Brachionus plicatilis*, for copepods, for *Artemia* and for protozoa as *F. salina*. Considering the scarcity of information in the literature on the presence of all the above categories of organisms in hypersalinity, a wide field waits to be studied in detail. The spectrum of the existed species of cyanobacteria and protists in particular may be much broader than we thought. Endemicity also may be much more intense than conservatively thought. The species in Greece may be different from even adjacent countries saltworks (there are no natural hypersaline lakes in Europe). The same holds true especially when more remote areas on Earth are considered. Because saltworks are not naturally formed and evolved biotopes, but rather reflect the extreme edge of acclimation and adaptation in extreme conditions of the marine organisms that constantly are transported from the sea to

Protists, Cyanobacteria, Rotifers and Crustacea from
the Hypersaline Ponds of Messolonghi Saltworks (W. Greece)

the salt pans, the endemism theory actually refers to the sea habitat. In that sense, Foissner's (2008) moderate endemism distribution model in protists as opposed to the ubiquity distribution model, seems to explain the findings of the present study regarding the difficulties of recognition in the species level of the encountered organisms. It seems that apart from protists, this hypothesis applies also to hypersaline cyanobacteria, thus a whole unexplored eco-habitat awaits multidisciplinary study.

The present study is nothing more than an initial attempt to outline the wealth of micro-biota in a particular hypersaline environment, with the aim to intrigue interest for thorough studies, something that is already happening in the Plankton Culture Laboratory of T.E.I. W. Greece.

The organisms presented in Figures 1 - 7 are representatives of the whole collection and especially in Fig. 7 are depicted two specimens that cannot be assigned to established species of diatoms according to the existed atlases.

Salinity range (ppt)	50-80	81-110	111-130	131-160	>160	Culture response
CYANOBACTERIA (5 unidentified)						
<i>Synechococcus</i>	+++	++++	++++	++	++	+
<i>Aphanothece</i>	++	+++	++++	+++	+	+
<i>Microcystis</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	
<i>Cyanothece</i>	+	++	++++	+++	+	+
<i>Oscillatoria</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	+
<i>Lyngbya</i>	++++	++	+	-	-	+
<i>Aphanizomenon</i>	+++	++++	++	+	-	+
<i>Cylindrospermopsis</i>	++	+++	++++	+	-	
<i>Anabaena</i>	+++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Arthrospira</i>	+++	++++	++++	++	-	+++
<i>Beggiatoa</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Scytonema</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Prochlorothrix</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Microcoleus</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Tychonema</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Pseudoanabaena</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Phormidium</i>	+++	++	+	-	-	
PROTOZOA (11 unidentified)						
<i>Euplotes</i>	++++	++++	++	+	-	++++
<i>Urorythia</i>	++++	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Diophrys</i>	++++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Frontonia</i>	++++	++	+	+	-	++
<i>Dysteria</i>	+					
<i>Aspidisca</i>	++++	++++	++	+	-	
<i>Paramecium</i>	++++	+++	+	-	-	++
<i>Euglena</i>	++	-	-	-	-	++
<i>Paraurostyla</i>	+++	++	+	-	-	
<i>Colpoda</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	
<i>Coleps</i>	++	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Amphileptus</i>	+++	+	+	-	-	
<i>Condylostoma</i>	++++	+++	++	+	-	++++
<i>Amoeba</i>	++++	++++	++++	+++	+	++
<i>Holophrya</i>	++++	++	+	+	-	
<i>Halteria</i>	++	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Pleuronema</i>	++++	++	++	+	-	+
<i>Cyclidium</i>	++++	++++	+++	++	-	+++
<i>Loxodes</i>	++	++	+	-	-	
<i>Litonotus</i>	++	+	+	-	-	+
<i>Chaetospora</i>	+++	+	+	+	-	
<i>Stichotria</i>	+++	+	+	-	-	
<i>Bursaridium</i>	++	+++	-	-	-	
<i>Climacostomum</i>	++++	+++	++	+	-	++
<i>Blepharisma</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	
<i>Holosticha</i>	++++	++	+	-	-	
<i>Vorticella</i>	++++	+++	++	+	-	+++
<i>Remanella</i>	++++	++	+	+	-	
<i>Lembandion</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Strobidium</i>	++	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Uronema</i>	++++	++++	++	+	-	+++
<i>Bursaria</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Tracheloraphis</i>	++	-	-	-	-	

Protists, Cyanobacteria, Rotifers and Crustacea from
the Hypersaline Ponds of Messolonghi Saltworks (W. Greece)

<i>Lacrymaria</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Hemiophrys</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Fabrea salina</i>	++++	++++	++++	++++	++	++++
<i>Dileptus</i>	++++	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Colpodella</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	++++
<i>Phialina</i>	+++	++	+	-	-	
Choanoflagellates	++	+	-	-	-	
MICROALGAE						
<i>Asteromonas gracilis</i>	+	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
<i>Dunaliella salina</i>	++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
<i>Dunaliella</i> sp.	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
<i>Tetraselmis marina</i>	++	++++	++++	++	-	++
<i>Hymenomonas</i> sp.	++++	++	+	+	-	+
DIATOMS (4 unidentified)						
<i>Cymbella</i>	++++	+++	+++	+	-	+++
<i>Caloneis</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Cyclotella</i>	++++	+	-	-	-	+++
<i>Craticula</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Navicula</i>	++++	++++	+++	++	-	
<i>Nitzschia</i>	++++	++++	++++	+++	-	++++
<i>Pleurosigma</i>	++++	+++	++	-	-	
<i>Entomoneis</i>	+++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Encyonema</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Ulnaria</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Pinnularia</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Surirella</i>	+	+	-	-	-	
<i>Neidium</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Synendra</i>	++++	++	+	+	-	
<i>Stauroneis</i>	+	+	-	-	-	
<i>Gyrosigma</i>	++++	++	+	-	-	+++
<i>Amphiprora</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Eunotia</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Epithemia</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Diatoma</i>	+	-	-	-	-	
<i>Cymatopleura</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Cocconeis</i>	++++	+	+	-	-	+++
<i>Cylindrotheca</i>	++	++	+	+	-	+
DINOFLAGELLATES						
<i>Gymnodinium</i>	++++	++	+	+	-	
ROTIFERS (1 unidentified)						
<i>Hexarthra</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Pleurotrocha</i>	++++	+++	-	-	-	
<i>Epiphanes</i>	++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Encentrum</i>	+++	-	-	-	-	
<i>Lindia</i>	++++	+++	-	-	-	++
<i>Colurella</i>	+++	++	-	-	-	+
<i>Testudinella</i>	++	+	-	-	-	
<i>Brachionus plicatilis</i>	++	-	-	-	-	++++
COPEPODS						
<i>Tisbe</i>	++++	+++	-	-	-	++++
ARTEMIA	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++	++++
NEMATODE	++++	++++	++++	+++	+	++++

Table 1: The organisms recorded in hypersalinity at Messolonghi saltworks. “+” stands for the least presence, “++++” for maximum and “-” for absence in relation to the counts sum of each particular organism in all salinities and in combination of a rather rough estimation of their abundance among all other organisms in each particular sample examined. Concerning their response to the culture trials: “+” stands for “poor”, “++” for “fair”, “+++” for “good” and “++++” for “excellent”.